

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 04/02/2001 09/822,839 Masao Tsuruta Q60559 8477 EXAMINER 08/06/2004 7590 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213

DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

| Application No. 09/822,839 | Applicant(s) TSURUTA, MASAO | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Examiner Clark F. Dexter | Art Unit 3724 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>17 May 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper

| | | hea | ading or in the proper order. |
|----|-------------|-----|--|
| 2. | | | e brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the pealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)). |
| 3. | | | least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a tement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)). |
| 4. | | | e brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page d line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)). |
| 5. | | The | e brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)). |
| 6. | | A s | ingle ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and |
| | (a) | | the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief. |
| | (b) | | the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief. |
| 7. | | The | e brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)). |
| 8. | | The | e brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)). |
| 9. | \boxtimes | Oth | ner (including any explanation in support of the above items): |
| | | | |

It is respectfully submitted that the grouping of the claims is unclear and appears to be improper. Specifically, the claims have been divided into 2 groups which would appear to imply that the claims within the groups should be considered together (that is, they stand or fall together). However, under Group I it is stated that the claims within this group do not stand or fall together. Thus, this appears to conflict with the groupings, and it seems that there should either be two groups (wherein the claims within the group stand or fall together) or three groups.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 3724